

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR	. [ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
08/808,315	02/28/97	7 KINOSHITA		Н	247/PD-5385	
-		MM91/1030	乛	EXAMINER		
JOHN P. SCHERLACHER, ESQ.				MENEEEE.T		
HOGAN & HA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BILTMORE TO LOS ANGELES	OWER	JE, SUITE 1900		2881 Date Mailed:		
					10/30/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	08/808,315	KINOSHITA ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James Menefee	2881					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2001 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which al (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ly to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 G	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17,20 and 21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:			LUNZ naryezamine u 2881				
		GA	u 2881				

Advisory Action

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Previously, the limitation from claim 20 concerning using a reference plane to form a microcrack was not given patentable weight because of intended use of the device. Now, the claim has been amended to structurally include the microcrack, which will require further search.